Know your way around preventing infectious outbreaks

According to the World Health Organisation (WHO), the resistance built up by certain bacteria is becoming a growing threat in our modern society and is putting at risk our ability to treat common infections. This issue, called “the superbug crisis”, refers to resistance built up by bacteria towards the antibiotics previously used against them. Due to this resistance, some concerns have been raised about the effectiveness of common disinfectant procedures. This paper will go through various bacteria and viruses known to be difficult to prevent, and review the different disinfectant procedures that can be used to prevent them.

**Staphylococcus aureus**

*Staphylococcus aureus* is a group of bacteria - not always pathogenic - that can cause a multitude of infections. Often called staphylococcal or staph infections, their impact ranges from minor to life-threatening conditions if and when they become invasive.¹

Most of the strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* are responsive to antibiotics and can easily be destroyed. Unfortunately, some other strains have developed a stronger resistance enabling them to survive. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is a type of *Staphylococcus aureus* which started developing resistance to Penicillin in the late 1940s. To counter this Methicillin was then introduced as a replacement until 1961, when British scientists discovered that *Staphylococcus aureus* had also built up a resistance against it. This resulted in its name: MRSA. It was later discovered that the family of antibiotics known as beta-lactams to which Penicillin and Methicillin belong were all ineffective against the bacteria. In 2002, Vancomycin (another antibiotic) also started to show weaknesses against a few strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Based on its multi-resistance, some believe that MRSA should now stand for “Multi-drug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*” but its original name (Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*) remains widely used today.²

When non-invasive, MRSA causes mild skin infections such as boils or impetigo. However, if the bacteria become invasive, they can provoke serious complications such as blood poisoning, urinary tract infection, endocarditis and pneumonia.

According to the UK National Health Service (NHS), MRSA can either be transmitted via skin-to-skin contact or via contaminated surfaces or objects. Know to be especially problematic in hospital environments, MRSA began as a hospital-acquired infection (HA-MRSA).

The risks of outbreaks in medical institutions remain a major concern for the following reasons:

- A large number of patients are gathered within the same infrastructure;
- patients tend to be weakened by their condition and more vulnerable; and
- patients often have injuries or wounds which can lead to invasive MRSA infections.³

Today, MRSA is known to be community-acquired (CA-MRSA) as well as hospital-acquired (HA-MRSA). Raising awareness in schools and promoting hand washing for parents and children has become one of the main efforts to prevent the spread of the bacteria.

**Clostridium difficile**

*Clostridium difficile* (C. diff.) is another kind of hospital-acquired infection. This gram-positive, spore-forming anaerobic bacterium is the causative agent of *Clostridium difficile* associated disease (CDAD).⁴

Gram-positive defines the characteristic of a bacterium that has a cell wall composed of a thick layer of amino acid and sugar substances, called peptiglycan. Anaerobic means that the bacteria do not need oxygen to survive and multiply. These properties enable C. diff. to invade the colon and affect the digestive system.

*Clostridium difficile* infections (CDI) are usually linked to patients who have been treated with broad spectrum antibiotics. Those antibiotics, used against a variety of bacteria, can also destroy the natural bacteria present in the bowel that protect against C. diff. When this happens, C. diff. can multiply and produce toxins resulting in mild to severe inflammation of the colon, known as colitis. This provokes diarrhoea, high temperature and painful abdominal cramps.⁵

As C. diff. produces spores, the bacteria spread easily when leaving the body of an infected person via diarrhoea. The spores which are a much more resistant form of cell can survive on objects and surfaces for many weeks. They can also spread
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through the air, for example while making the bed, or via the hands of people who come in contact with infected patients or contaminated surfaces. As spores can survive for a long time, the risk of ingesting the bacteria (by touching your nose or mouth after touching a contaminated surface for instance) becomes high unless they are removed by thorough cleaning and repetitive hand-washing.5

C. diff is known to be the biggest cause of infectious diarrhoea in hospitals. According to Public Health England, C. diff. infections were at their highest in 2007 with 57,247 cases recorded, whilst MRSA reached a high of 7,700 infections in 2003. Today, and since the introduction of mandatory surveillance in the UK, those numbers have decreased quite significantly. At the end of the 2013 financial year, a total of 924 MRSA bacteraemia and 5,974 C. diff. were recorded.6

Norovirus

Unlike MRSA and C. diff. Norovirus is commonly acquired in communities. Norovirus is extremely contagious and can easily be spread in public places such as schools, hotels, cruise ships and other environments.

Sometimes known as Small Round Structured Virus (SRSV), Calicivirus or Norwalk-like virus, Norovirus can also be referred to as stomach flu, food poisoning or winter vomiting bug. It classifies as a non-enveloped virus and although it cannot survive for a very long time in a living body, it does present a higher resistance in outside environments compared to other viruses.7

Norovirus is known to be the most common cause of stomach bug or gastroenteritis in the UK. According to the NHS, there are at least 25 different strains of Norovirus affecting between 600,000 and 1 million people in the UK each year. The virus is highly contagious because it can survive for many days in the outside world, even in extreme temperatures. People can catch it very easily by touching their nose, mouth or eyes after being in contact with an infected person or touching contaminated surfaces or objects. The virus can also spread through the air, making it very difficult to control. It can also be caught by eating food or drinking water that has been prepared or served by someone who is or was recently ill. Although very unpleasant, it is usually mild and there is no real cure against it. Symptoms can include vomiting, diarrhoea and fever which can lead to dehydration.8

Even though Norovirus tends to be less dangerous than MRSA and C. diff. it is far more difficult to prevent. Implementing a proper decontamination procedure including frequent hand washing and high-level disinfection is essential to help keeping the number of infections down. MRSA, C. diff. and Norovirus are known to be difficult to prevent due to their antibiotic resistance, presence of spores, and contagious state respectively. However, there is a concern today that MRSA might evolve to develop a resistance to disinfectants as they did for antibiotics. This concern also extends to other Multidrug Resistant Bacteria (MRB) such as Vancomycin Resistant Enterococcus (VRE) and Carbapenemase Producing Enterobacteriaceae (CPE). Enterococci and Enterobacteriaceae are bacteria usually present in the human intestines. However they can also lead to infections; as they have developed resistance against strong antibiotics and can survive in the environment for many weeks. One study showed that VRE was still found on surfaces four weeks after an outbreak and was still viable.9

The Importance of High-Level Disinfection

Even though it is feared that MRB might develop a resistance towards disinfectant solutions as they did for antibiotics, this is yet to be proven. As far as the industry knows, the appearance of surviving strains after disinfection has nothing to do with a possible resistance and is in fact usually caused by other factors such as inadequate dilutions, wrong proportions and/or insufficient contact times.10

A wide variety of products are available on the market but their antimicrobial action can sometimes be too moderate or even insufficient. Special considerations must be given when choosing a disinfectant. Healthcare professionals need to make sure it is strong enough to kill spores, bacteria and Norovirus, and prevent them from spreading. Current guidelines of the Department of Health recommend chlorine-based products (e.g. sodium dichloroisocyanurate - NaDCC) when there is a C.diff. outbreak. Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), another oxidising agent is widely used to disinfect environmental surfaces in hospitals. The efficacy of sodium hypochlorite at a concentration of 5,000 ppm has been highlighted in a number of publications. Based on those guidelines and reports, the industry tends to rely on NaDCC and NaOCl when it comes to high-level disinfection. However, it has also often been pointed out that NaDCC and NaOCl are not compatible with all type of surfaces.11

NaDCC and NaOCl are known to be corrosive, harmful to users and bad for the environment. Achieving the correct concentration and contact time can also be difficult. These will differ from one manufacturer to another and need to be carefully checked on the product label prior to each use.12
Chlorine dioxide (ClO₂) is mentioned in a few guidelines. The Revised Healthcare Cleaning Manual of the NHS states that chlorine dioxide is more effective than chlorine. The required concentration of chlorine dioxide is lower. The efficacy of chlorine dioxide against spores and other pathogens is proven, and it is safer and quicker to use compared to hypochlorite products.¹³

The Tristel for Surfaces range is based on Tristel’s proprietary chlorine dioxide chemistry. Tristel Fuse, Jet and Duo are all sporicidal, mycobactericidal, virucidal, fungicidal and bactericidal. They have been tested against a wide range of microorganisms and achieve a >3 log₁₀ reduction against C.diff, a >4 log₁₀ against Norovirus and a >5 log₁₀ against MRSA, CRE and VRE. The contact times required to achieve the biocidal efficacy differ from one product to another:

**Tristel Fuse**
Tristel Fuse for Surfaces is a high-level sporicidal disinfectant designed for large surface areas. Delivered in sachets, the solution needs to be diluting into 5L of cold water and will achieve sporicidal efficacy in only 5 minutes.

**Tristel Jet**
Available in a gel or liquid spray format, Tristel Jet will achieve sporicidal efficacy in 30 and 60 seconds respectively.

**Tristel Duo**
Tristel Duo for Surfaces is a sporicidal disinfectant foam which destroys harmful micro-organisms with a contact time of only 30 seconds.

Tristel’s proprietary chlorine dioxide chemistry is based on two components: Organic acids (citric acid) and sodium chlorite (salt). Tristel’s chlorine dioxide chemistry has an exceptional health and safety record.

As well as being a safer and more effective alternative to NaDCC and NaOCl, pathogens cannot develop resistance towards it. Chlorine dioxide is a cell destroyer, meaning there is no chance of any microorganism adapting.

In a recent study, the Healthcare Infection Society compared thirty-two disinfectants against spores of C.diff. The disinfectants included chlorine dioxide, hypochlorite solutions, triamine, quarternary ammonium compound-based mixtures and peracetic acid. They were tested in a suspension test based on the European Standard BS EN 13704:2002, with contact times of 1 and 60 min in clean and dirty conditions. This study identified chlorine dioxide as the only agent able to remove C.diff. from the membrane filter under all the test conditions.¹⁴

Tristel’s proprietary chlorine dioxide chemistry has helped many hospitals around the world to prevent outbreaks and improve disinfection procedures. In August 2012, University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire (UHCW) NHS Trust set out a challenge to achieve 100 days free of C.diff. in all its hospital departments in Coventry and Rugby. Today all wards have achieved 100 days free of C.diff., with 70% of them now over a year and six of those achieving an amazing 1,000 days free of C.diff.¹⁵

For chlorine to reach Tristel sporicidal efficacy, 25 tablets would have had to be diluted into 5L of water, resulting in a solution that is toxic for staff and corrosive for surfaces.

Innovative delivery systems ensure that Tristel’s chlorine dioxide chemistry is delivered in a quick and effective way at one concentration for each application.
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